UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,473	04/29/2005	Carlos F. Fuente	GB920020085US1	4063
46335 DILLON & YU	7590 04/23/200 JDELL, LLP	EXAMINER		
8911 N CAPIT.	AL OF TEXAS HWY	LOONAN, ERIC T		
SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/533,473	FUENTE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ERIC LOONAN	2189				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matters, p					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 7 and 19-29 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 7 and 22-29 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 19-21 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 29 April 2005 is/are:	d/or election requirement.	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So rection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/533,473 Page 2

Art Unit: 2189

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is based on application 10/533,473 filed 29 April 2005. **Claims 7 and 19-29** are currently pending and have been considered below.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 21 January 2009 has been entered.

### Claim Objections

1. **Claim 7** is objected to because of the following informalities: the limitation "within said storage system" is repeated on lines 6 and 7. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claims fail to place the invention squarely within one statutory class of invention. At [0045] of the instant specification, applicant has provided evidence that applicant intends the "computer readable medium" to include signals. As such, the claim is drawn to a form of energy. Energy is not one of the four categories of invention and therefore this

Application/Control Number: 10/533,473 Page 3

Art Unit: 2189

claim(s) is/are not statutory. Energy is not a series of steps or acts and thus is not a process. Energy is not a physical article or object and as such is not a machine or manufacture. Energy is not a combination of substances and therefore not a composition of matter. The Examiner suggests for the claim limitations in Claims 22-25 to be amended to state "computer storage medium" (supported by [0044]) or "tangible medium" (supported by [0045]) to differentiate between storage and signal carrying media. Claims 26-29 deploy the usage of means-plus-function language, thus the claims are presumed to invoke 35 U.S.C. § 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph. Claims 26-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 since an embodiment of the claimed structure is drawn to a computer program product implemented on a non-statutory medium (as supported in [0045]).

# Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/533,473

Art Unit: 2189

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 7, 22, and 26 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claim 1 of U. S. Patent No. 7,401,081 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

US Patent 7,401,081	Application 10/533,473
Claim 1	Claim 7
A method for providing control on metadata within a network of storage controllers, said method comprising:	A method for performing lock management for a flash copy in a shared storage system, said method comprising:
designating one of said storage controllers as an owner storage controller, wherein said owner storage controller owns metadata controlling all input/output (I/O) operations associated with a region of storage; designating remaining of said storage controllers as client storage controllers; in response to an I/O request to one of said client storage controllers, suspending said I/O request by said one client storage controller;	storing a copy of data structure defining a coherency relationship between a region of data and a flash copy image of said region of data within a cache of said shared storage system, wherein said data structure is subject to one or more lock protocols controlled by an owner storage controller node within said shared storage system within said shared storage system,
determining by said owner storage controller, whether or not said region of storage has already been copied;	wherein said data structure includes a previous positive confirmation that said region of data a flash copy associated with said flash copy image of said region of data are consistent;
in a determination that said region of storage has been copied, unpending said I/O request by said one client storage controller to process said I/O request; and in a determination that said region of storage has not been copied, placing a lock record against said metadata associated with said region of storage; copying data within said region of storage by said owner storage controller; and releasing said lock record to process said I/O request.	receiving a request to perform an input/output operation on at least one of said region of data and said flash copy image of said region of data at a client storage controller node within said shared storage system; and performing said input/output operation at an input/output performing component of said client storage controller node utilizing said copy of data structure.

Art Unit: 2189

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. **Claims 7 and 19-29** are found to be allowed over prior art.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's remarks submitted 21 January 2009 in response to Office Action mailed 6 August 2008 have been fully considered, but are considered moot based on new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIC LOONAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,473 Page 6

Art Unit: 2189

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric Loonan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2189

/Kevin L Ellis/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 2187